Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Munish Kumar, S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, District Red Cross, R/o Noordi Bazar, Street Maseet Wali, Tarn Taran.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Executive Secretary, District Red Cross Society, Tarn Taran. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Tarn Taran.

Appeal Case No. 1350 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Sanjay Kumar, Clerk (for the Respondent) 99882-59450

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application dated **24.12.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding appointment of employees by Deputy Commissioner in the year **2016**, as enumerated in his RTI applications. First Appeals were filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.1.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **16.3.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission that he has received the requested information, which was sent to him vide Letter No. 4206-10 Dated 16.6.2022. The respondent PIO, represented by Sanjay Kumar, Clerk, states that the information was delayed because of the State Assembly Election schedule when this RTI application was filed on 24.12.2021.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sukrit Sharda, #50/186, Old Shahpur Road, Pathankot - 145 001

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Executive Engineer,

Punjab Mandi Board, Tarn Taran - 143047

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Chief Engineer (North), Punjab Mandi Board, Punjab Mandi Bhawan, Sector-65 A, SAS Nagar (Mohali) – 16001

Appeal Case No. 3188 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Satnam Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **5.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 3.2.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding cash books, MB, bills, vouchers etc. from 1.4.2020 to 31.1.2021, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 1.4.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.7.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 5.4.2022.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission vide email Dated 18.6.2022, that he has received the requested information on 24.5.2022.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sarabjeet Singh Gill,

S/o Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, # 60-35-P-376-1, Street No.8, Maha Singh Nagar, Post Office Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana – 141 014

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 2064 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Randhir Singh, Building Inspector from Zone B (for the Respondent) Vishal Rampal, Building Inspector from Zone A (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **2.12.2021 and 10.3.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.2.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding a building compound in the area of Zones A, B, and D from 1.1.2019 to till date, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.2.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.4.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The PIO's representatives from Zone A, B, and D (Building Branch) have sent separate replies to the RTI application vide Letter No. 73 dated 29/6/22; No. 1207, Dated 30.2.2021; and 465 5/3/0212, wherein the appellant was informed that the requested record is regularly updated on the Challan Management System, which is publically available on the website of the Municipal Corporation. It is notable that this appellant preferred a Second Appeal on 28.4.2021, despite having received replies from all the three PIOs.
- 3. The Commission also notes that the appellant Sarabjeet Singh has never appeared at any of the Hearings of this and many other appeals filed by him to date. In view of the replies submitted by PIOs Building Branch Zone A, B, and D, this Appeal Case is **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sarabjeet Singh Gill,

S/o Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, # 60-35-P-376-1, Street No.8, Maha Singh Nagar, Post Office Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana – 141 014

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Executive Officer, Municipal Committee, Jagraon, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), Ludhiana

Appeal Case No. 2463 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Narinder Kumar, Clerk (for the Respondent) 98884-54860

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **2.12.2021 and 10.3.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **15.1.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding private colonies under the jurisdiction of Municipal Council Jagraon, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.2.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.5.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The Commission also notes that the appellant Sarabjeet Singh has never appeared at any of the Hearings of this and many other appeals filed by him to date. The respondent PIO, represented by Narinder Kumar, Clerk, has sent a copy of reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 418 Dated 9.3.2020, with a pointwise reply to the RTI application along with the requested information.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sarabjeet Singh Gill,

S/o Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, # 60-35-P-376-1, Street No.8, Maha Singh Nagar, Post Office Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana – 141 014

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Executive Officer, Municipal Committee, Jagraon, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 2464 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Narinder Kumar, Clerk (for the Respondent) 98884-54860

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **2.12.2021 and 10.3.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **15.1.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding marriage palaces under the jurisdiction of Municipal Council Jagron, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.2.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.5.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The Commission also notes that the appellant Sarabjeet Singh has never appeared at any of the Hearings of this and many other appeals filed by him to date. As directed by the Commission at the last hearing on 10.3.2022, the respondent PIO submitted a copy of the reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 420 Dated 9.3.2022. This includes a pointwise reply to the RTI application along with the requested information.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Amarjit Singh Dhamotia,

60-35-P-376-1, Street No.8, Maha Singh Nagar, PO Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Sub Divisional Officer, Regional Office-2, E-648, Phase-5, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,

o/o Chairman, Punjab Pollution Control Board, Patiala-147001

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Chairman Punjab Pollution Control Board, Vatavarn Bhawan, Nabha Road, Patiala – 147 001

Appeal Case No. 2245 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Amandeep Singh, SDO (for the Respondent) 88722-22330

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 14.1.2021, 28.10.2021, 14.12.2021, and 10.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **25.5.2020** vide which, the appellant has sought information regarding action against factories situated in Janta Nagar, Kot Mangal Singh and Shimlapur Ludhiana, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.6.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.8.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO represented by Amandeep Singh, SDO from RO 2, has made detailed reply in response to this Commission's Order of 10.3.2022, which reads as under:-

"List of following 28 no. industries:-

List of following 20 flot interestings.		
Sr.	Name & Address of industries	
No.		
1	M/s Tangari Industries, St. No/. 1, B/s Karyana	
	Store, Shanti Nagar, Giaspura, Ludhiana.	
2	Ms Vikas Spray Paint, V-Daba Road, Near Dhillon	
	Dairy, Ludhiana.	
3	M/s S.R. Engineering Works, #12188, St. No.1,	
	Partap Nagar, opp. Gurudwara Sahib, Ludhiana.	

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2245 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

4	M/s Verma Engineering Works, #12188, St. No.1,
	Partap Nagar, opp. Gurudwara Sahib, Ludhiana.
5	M/s Ranjit International, 9712, St. No. 01, Kot
	Mangal Singh (Opp. H.No. 9722/1, of Sh. Prem
	Chand), Ludhiana.
6	M/s Sahil Brothers, 1795, St. No. 22, Janta Nagar,
	Ludhiana.
7	M/s Surinder Singh Kuku S/o Sh. Gurbachan
	Singh, H.No. 4291, St. No. 6, Shimlapuri,
	Ludhiana.
8	M/s Shrivashtwa Enterprises (Previously M/s S.K
	Enterprises), #5594, ST. No. 22, Guru Gobind
	Singh Nagar, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.
9	M/s Kalsi Industries, Opp. Plot No. 6383/1, Near
	Sahyog Karyana Store, St. No. 01, B/s ATI Road,
	Near Janta Nagar, Ludhiana.
10	M/s K.H Auto Industries, Plot No. 3337/1, St. No.
	05, New Janta Nagar, Gill Road, Ludhiana.
11	M/s Sh. Ram Singh, 1902/47/23, St. No. 35, Preet
	Nagar, New Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.
12	M/s Sai Enterprises, 4560, Kwality Chowk, Opp.
	St. No. 15, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.
13	M/s Choudhary & Sons, 4560, Kwality Chowk,
	Opp. St. No. 5, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.
14	M/s Krishan Lal Zinc Plating Industry, 12708, St.
	No. 5, Visharma Colony, Ludhiana.
15	M/s Galaxy Industry, 3823, St. No. 8, New Janta
	Nagar, Gill Road, Ludhiana.
16	M/s Apsara Fastners, St. No. 03, Bhagwan
	Chowk, Janta Nagarm Ludhiana.
17	M/s D.K Industries, 4141, Guru Nanak, St. No. 7,
	Maya Soap Wali Gali, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.
18	M/s Ishar Engineering Works (Regd), St. No. 3,
	Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar, Ludiana.
19	M/s Diamond Products (India), B-21-10525, St.
	No. 10, Partap Nagar, Bhagwan Chowk, Ludhiana.
20	M/s G.K Industries, #6276/1, St. No.7, Opp. East
	India Products, New Janta Nagar, Ludhiana.
21	M/s Nagpal Industries (Regd), 3746/06, St. No. 13,
	½ Guru Nanak Street Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.
22	M/s B.S Industries, 4018, St. No. 08, Shimlapuri,
	Ludhiana.
	Contd.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2245 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

23	M/s Shivjit Enterprises (ACME), 3742, St. No. 02, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.
24	M/s Thaman Techno India, 6189/2, St. No. 01, New Janta Nagar, Ludhiana.
25	M/s Avtar Export, #6489, St. No. 3, New Janta Nagar, Gill Market, Ludhiana.
26	M/s Ladhoria Plastic, 15163, St. No. 3, Guru Arjun Dev Nagar, Ludhiana.
27	M/s Binni Jain, #13531, St. No. 15, Vishkarma Colony, Ludhiana.
28	M/s Sunder Lal S/o Bharat Parshad, 11126, St. No. 6, Partap Nagar, Ludhiana.

Attested copies of the directions issued to the Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. regarding disconnection of electric supply of above industries are enclosed. Record of 03 industries M/s Tangri Industry, St. No. 1, B/s Kamal Karyana Store, Shanti Nagar, Giaspura, Ludhiana, M/s Surinder Singh Kuku S/o Sh. Gurbachan Singh, H. No. 4291, St. No. 6, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana & Sh. Ram Singh, 1902/47/23, St. No. 35, Preet Nagar, New Shimlapuri, Ludhiana are not available in this office.

It is further informed that the appellant had also requested for complete file of these industries. In this regard, it is submitted that according to the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005, only the information readily available in the official record has to be supplied to the applicant. The information sought by the appellant is apparently voluminous requiring the involvement of the staff of Regional Office to search the entire record of 25 no. industries. It would have disproportionately diverted the resources of the public authority and would have detrimental to the safety and preservation of the record. Moreover, there seems to be no public purpose involved in the case. In a similar case, where the applicant has desired some information relating the registration of vehicles of all categories for the period of about 6 years, the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in Civil Writ Petition No. 3344 of 2017 has declined the prayer of the petitioner and vide order dated 5.4.2017 held that the petitioner has neither disclosed the reason for information nor has been able to establish as to how the disclosure of the information has got relation to any public activity or public interest as such his application has been rightly closed. The copy of said orders is attached for kind perusal of Hon'ble Commission.

In CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6454 of 2011 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 7526/2009), the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has given judgment dated 09.08.2011, the relevant paragraph no. 35 and 37 of said judgment are reproduced as under:-

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2245 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

35. At this juncture, it is necessary to clear some misconceptions about the RTI Act. The RTI Act provides access to all information that is available and existing. This is clear from a combined reading of section 3 and the definitions of 'information' and 'right to information' under clauses (f) and (j) of section 2 of the Act. If a public authority has any information in the form of data or analysed data, or abstracts, or statistics, an applicant may access such information, subject to the exemptions in section 8 of the Act. But where the information sought is not a part of the record of a public authority, and where such information is not required to be maintained under any law of the rules or regulations of the public authority, the Act does not cast an obligation upon the public authority, to collect or collate such non-available information and then furnish it to an applicant. A public authority is also not required to furnish information which require drawing of inferences and/or making of assumptions. It is also not required to provide 'advise' or 'opinion' to an applicant, nor required to obtain and furnish any 'opinion' and or 'advise' to an applicant. The reference to 'opinion' or 'advice' in the definition of 'information' in section 2(f) of the Act, only refers to such material available in the records of the public authority. Many public authorities have as a public relation exercise, provide advice, guidance and opinion to the citizens. But that is purely voluntary and should not be confused with any obligation under the RTI Act.

37. The right to information is a cherished right, Information and right to information are intended to be formidable tools in the hands of responsible citizens to fight corruption and to bring in transparency accountability. The provisions of RTI Act should be enforced strictly and all efforts should be made to bring to light the necessary information under clause (b) of section 4(1) of the Act which relates to securing transparency and accountability in the working of public authorities and in discouraging corruption. But in regard to other information, (that is information other than those enumerated in section 4(1)(b) and (c) of the Act), equal importance and emphasis are given to other public interests (like confidentiality of sensitive information, fidelity and fiduciary relationships, efficient operation of governments, etc). Indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under RTI Act for disclosure of all and sundry information (unrelated to transparency and accountability in the functioning of public authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counter-productive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bagged down with the nonproductive work of collecting and furnishing information. The Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the peace, tranquility and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of a public authorities prioritizing 'information furnishing', at the cost of their normal and regular duties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2245 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Further, the files of above 25 no. industries also contain 'Personal Information'. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in para 59 of decision dated 13.11.2019 in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs Subhash Chandra Aggarwal has defined "Personal Information" under the Right to Information Act, 2005. The relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-

"Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion would indicate that personal records, including name, address, physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification, performance, evaluation reports ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded, including that of the family members, information relating to assets, liabilities, income tax returns, details of investments, lending and borrowing, etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list of indicative and not exhaustive

The copy of letter dated 9.8.2021 issued by the Department of Governance Reforms & public Grievances, Govt of Punjab in this regard, is attached for kind perusal of Hon'ble Commission.

In view of position explained above, it is prayed that the appeal may be disposed off, as no matter has been concealed therein."

3. The Commission is inclined to concur with the respondent PIO's contention. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Gurmej Lal,

1331-5, Street No. 5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Simlapuri, Ludhiana – 141 003.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 955 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Hitesh, JE from Zone A (for the Respondent) 99889-97224

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application dated **8.11.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding interlock tile</u>, as enumerated in his RTI applications. First Appeals were filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.12.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.2.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As per the reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 165 Dated 22.11.2022, the information is voluminous and not specific and hence denied as per the Section 7(9) of the RTI Act 2005. The reply sent by the respondent PIO, B&R Zone A reads as under:-

"ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਰਾਂਹੀ ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਰ ਟੀ ਆਈ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 2(f) ਅਤੇ 7(IX) ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਪੈਸੀਫਿਕ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ ਭਾਂਵ ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸ਼ੈਲੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਪਲਬੱਧ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ ਨੂੰ ਇਕੱਤਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਅਤੇ ਲਾਮਬੰਦ ਕਰਕੇ ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਦੇਣੀ ਪਵੇਗੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨਾ voluminous ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਤਿਆਰ ਕਰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਦਾ ਬਹੁਤ ਸਾਰਾ ਸਾਮਾਂ ਨਸ਼ਟ ਹੋ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ ਜੋਕਿ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਦੇ ਕੰਮਾਂ ਵਾਸਤੇ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਕੇਦਰੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਅਤੇ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸੁਪਰੀਮ ਕੋਰਟ ਆਫ ਇੰਨਡੀਆ ਦੇ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਹੇਠ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹਨ।

Hon'ble Supreme court of India in Civil Appeal No. 6454 of 2011 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 7526/2009) in the case of Central Board of Secondary Education and Anr. Vs Aditya Badoadhyay & Ors. Held that.... "Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to construct the national development and integration or to destroy the piece, tranquility and harmony among citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool of oppression or intermediation of honest official striving to do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 955 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act 2005 and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act 2005 should not be lead to employees of a Public Authorities prioritizing information furnishing and the cost of their normal and regular duties." So the requested information can not be provided to the appellant."

3. The respondent PIO's decision of upheld. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Gurmej Lal,

1331-5, Street No. 5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Simlapuri, Ludhiana-141003.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 956 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Harjeet Singh, SDO from Zone B (for the Respondent) 97800-39453

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application dated **8.11.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding interlocking tiles</u>, as enumerated in his RTI applications. First Appeals were filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.12.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.2.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As per the reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 562 Dated 2.12.2021, the information is voluminous and not specific and hence denied as per the Section 7(9) of the RTI Act 2005. The reply sent by the respondent PIO, B&R Zone B reads as under:-
- "ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਅਤੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਸ਼ੀ ਗੁਰਮੇਜ ਲਾਲ ਜੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਆਰ ਟੀ ਆਈ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 2(f) ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਪੈਸੀਫਿਕ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ ਭਾਂਵ ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸ਼ੈਲੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਪਲਬੱਧ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ ਨੂੰ ਇਕੱਤਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਅਤੇ ਲਾਮਬੰਦ ਕਰਕੇ ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਦੇਣੀ ਪਵੇਗੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨਾ voluminous ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਤਿਆਰ ਕਰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਦਾ ਬਹੁਤ ਸਾਰਾ ਸਾਮਾਂ ਨਸ਼ਟ ਹੋ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ ਜੋਕਿ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਦੇ ਕੰਮਾਂ ਵਾਸਤੇ ਹੰਦਾ ਹੈ।"
- 3. The Commission concurs with the decision of the respondent PIO. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Gurmej Lal,

1331-5, Street No. 5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Simlapuri, Ludhiana – 141 003.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 958 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Gokaran, JE from Zone C (for the Respondent) 99888-31600

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application dated **26.11.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding complaint diary no. 4116-SE Dated 22.11.2021, as enumerated in his RTI applications. First Appeals were filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **30.12.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.2.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As per a reply submitted by the respondent PIO vide Letter No. 73 Dated 2.6.2022, the information pertaining to point no. 3 of the RTI application has been furnished. The PIO, represented by Gokaran, JE, O&M Cell Zone C, has assured the Commission that a reply with respect to point no. 1 and 2 of this RTI application will also be furnished within the next 7 days. A copy of the same is to be submitted to this Commission.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.